

Hello. This is perhaps my most necessary apology yet. Apart from the time I had to say sorry for calling Steve Lukather 'Steve Lucifer', anyway. That was cruel. What am I apologising for now? Well... all the talks of arson, it has to stop. Right? This may sound very naïve, but maybe the crime just mentioned isn't ALL bad? I watched a Youtube video featuring people in a deprived Scottish area who set fire to abandoned houses. Maybe there were so poor they snapped and set fire to buildings with no one in them just for warmth? We all have our breaking points, if anything I feel bad for them. We all know that breaking mirrors causes seven years of bad luck. Say if someone broke your car mirror, the so called 'criminal' wasn't doing anything wrong, but actually saving you from misfortune. He could be sacrificing himself for you in case you broke the mirror by accident. It's very easy to do. I feel bad for the person. Isn't it nicer when you look at the world that way?

Having said that, if you DO see the world that way, it would be rather easy for criminals to exploit you. 'Oh good, here comes Simon. Let's mug him and tell him money is the root of all evil.' Both immature and more realistic outlooks have their pros and cons, and because of my situation, maybe it's for the best if I avoid reality. Having said that, I'm sure the made up criminals wouldn't put the words quite like that, but rather they'd scream apparent nonsense. Would they really be mad at me or would they be frustrated by their intellectual inadequacies? Sadly whenever I talk about setting fire to Dan's property, etc. it isn't because of poverty but anger and boredom which is much harder to justify. I'm wondering how I'd defend myself in court. Would it be better if I said I did it simply for something to do, or if I said I was really cold and snapped? Because of blasted global warming it isn't cold though! At the time of typing it's actually rather warm out. I set fire to a car because I really like warm weather and wanted more warmth? That could work, but sadly my numerous writings explaining how much I prefer the cold are extremely incriminating. Maybe I could tell the judge to be more naïve as he'd be happier that way?

I could point out how since trusting others more, I may have lost all of my possessions and suffered many injuries, but my worldview has paradoxically improved. It would take some explaining, but give me a blackboard, some chalk and some small booklets to share, and I think I could drive home my point in the end. Oh no. I've just realised how this is supposed to be a monologue about me being sorry for mentioning arson all the time. I can't believe I've just made things worse. Let's put things right. So, don't set things on fire! Unless you're cooking chicken of course... Never eat raw chicken. Bonfires are ok as well. I would say it's ok to cook beef, and it is most of the time, but if I ever had to cook beef for you? I'd just leave it, to be honest. Otherwise I'd have to make an ULTRA sincere apology. A million miles away from this one, I don't even mean it. Whoops. Did I really just type that? Oh dear God.

I guess sorry for saying I'm not really sorry for all the talks about fire and such. But on the plus side, if I ever gave you food poisoning because you're far too trusting in me... yeah, I'd be sorry for that... Yeah, of course I would. That's good! Having said THAT, when it comes to heating up noodles, I know my stuff. Cook them in too much water, the noodles go soggy, cook them in not enough, the noodles go hard. I mean, let's be real, that really is all that I know. I guess I know cooking toast too long burns it, but if I didn't know that it wouldn't be amusing, it would be kind of mental, really. I should also point out that despite some of my prior writings, I AM actually sorry to Steve. I don't want him and his band writing a diss track about me. But I'm mainly

sorry because I was in the wrong, not because he could very easily get his own back in a soft rock, catchy way. And I think that's it from me! Hopefully I won't need to apologise again, but it just seems unlikely. Bye!