

It has been a mystery that has haunted mankind for many thousands of years, maybe even hundreds of thousands. That is, how do clothes warm you up if they don't produce energy and they're not on fire? They were frustrating thoughts for cavemen. Fires warm you up, cooking food makes it nice. Why aren't clothes on fire better? Mind blown. Well clothes shouldn't be on fire, anyway. There are some crazy people out there. If you have thoughts of setting fire to your clothes, it could be harmless curiosity, but WEARING clothes that are on fire? I'm just saying there are risks. I have to be honest, whilst most situations have pros and cons, I'm having a great deal of difficulty thinking of the positives, there. It's not even a funny story, it's more sad. Are you really that desperate for attention? So desperate your skin will be red for well over a week? People will think you're constantly angry, only resulting in you being more alienated, if that was possible.

Oh no. I've mentioned arson again. So sorry. Anyway, how DO clothes warm you up? Well, why are clothes called clothes? It's actually because clothes should be spelt 'cloves'. Cloves are dried flowers and flowers get their energy from the sun. Clothes get their energy from the sun too, hence the name, which is why jumpers and shirts, etc. get warm if you leave them out in the sun. That's it! It really was staring people in the face that whole time. Who was the person who named clothes after cloves? Sadly, I can only speculate. According to the internet, the first use of the word 'clothing' (or cloving) was before the 12th century. The person coming up with the word had to be super smart. If I had to guess, I'd say it was Henry I of England. He is more known for making peace with Scotland in that time, but I think his knowledge of how to warm up the world is more impressive.

Also, why aren't clothes called 'flowers' for example, as flowers are more common and more diverse than cloves, yet still get energy from the sun? Maybe it's just too obvious. It's like Metallica choosing their name. Calling themselves 'Heavy Metal Band' would be ridiculously uncreative and boring, the not so different Metallica is a drastic improvement and by that logic, cloves is drastically better than flower. Henry I was one creative and wise king. However, it should be pointed out that the ruler didn't INVENT clothes, that too would be ridiculous, he just understood them. If he did, that would mean everyone was naked before him and THAT would mean pre-11th century society was kind of pervy. Whilst there aren't any photographs of the era, there are countless paintings of people wearing clothes, so don't worry, there is no need to completely change your perceptions of ancient times.

I'm wondering why Henry I isn't as well known as Henry VIII. Is not getting along with your wives really more notable than a genius physics and biological mind? The former was a peacemaker, too! What message is that giving to society? That it's better to be part of a real life soap opera than to use your noggin? It's good news for people giving wedding services, they'd get a ton more business, but if you're looking for any more insights as good as the clothes/cloves one, get ready to be disappointed. Right, to sum up, clothes are actually living organisms, and should be respected. In theory, you should be able to plant them. I'm wondering what happens when you do so. I've never seen a jumper tree or whatever, and I'm wondering why. Maybe clothes plants need just the right conditions. In comparison, can you grow coconuts in England for example? I don't think you can. Someone really should try and plant a t-shirt tree, as I'd think that would be fantastic. And on that hopeful note... Bye!